BEFORE THE STATE CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSL COMMISSION,  
  
 HARYANA AT PANCHKULA

APPEAL NO.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ OF 2012

SDO ‘OP” Sub Ur.Sub Division (UHBVN) Ganaur (Sonipat)

-------------Appellant

VERSUS

Mahinder Singh

------------- Respondent

Affidavit of SDO ‘OP” S/U Sub Division (UHBVN)

Ganaur (Sonipat)

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemny affirm and declare as under:-

1. That the impugned order was passed by the Ld. DCF on dt 9.5.12 and the certified copy of the order was delivered on dt. 14.5.12

2. That thereafter the certified copy of the order was received and the complete file was sent for legal opinion to Legal Remembrancer (L.R.)regarding filing the appeal before the Hon,ble State Commission . In this way, after getting the opinion from the office of L.R., the case was sent for sanction and for engaging the counsel. Due to these reason the procedural delay has been occurred as the file has to move on different stages for filing the appeal.

1. That the delay of days in filing the appeal is not intentional and deliberate as the same is due to the reason explained above.

4. That the applicant will suffer irreparable loss in case, the delay of days in filing the appeal is not condoned.

Place Deponent

Dated:

Verification:-

Verified that all the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been concealed therein.

Dated:- Deponent

BEFORE THE STATE CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSL COMMISSION,  
  
 HARYANA AT PANCHKULA

APPEAL NO.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ OF 2012

SDO ‘OP” S/U Sub Division (UHBVN) Ganaur (Sonipat)

-------------Appellant

VERSUS

Mahender Singh

------------- Respondent

Affidavit of SDO ‘OP”

S/U Sub Division (UHBVN) Ganaur (Sonipat.)

I, the above named deponent do hereby solemny affirm and declare as under:-

1. That the present appeal is being filed in this Hon’ble Commission and on the basis of ground taken therein, the applicant is confident of the same being allowed. The grounds of appeal may be read as a part of the present application.

2. That the applicant has prima facie a good case. Balance of convenience is also in favour of the appellant.

3. That in case the operation of the order of District Forum is not stayed during the pendency of the appeal, the complainant shall get the order executed and later on in the event of success of the appeal, the appellant shall suffer irreparable harm in that eventuality.

Place:- Deponent

Dated:

Verification:-

Verified that all the contents of my above affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. No part of it is false and nothing has been concealed therein.

Place:- Deponent

BEFORE THE STATE CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSL COMMISSION,  
  
 HARYANA AT PANCHKULA

APPEAL NO.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ OF 2012

UHBVN

-------------Appellant

VERSUS

Ramesh Kumar ------------- Respondent

Application for stay

1. That the present appeal is being filed in this Hon’ble Commission and on the basis of ground taken therein, the applicant is confident of the same being allowed. The grounds of appeal may be read as a part of the present application.

2. That the applicant has prima facie a good case. Balance of convenience is also in favour of the appellant.

3. That in case the operation of the order 20.12.2011 of District Forum Fetehabad is not stayed during the pendency of the appeal, the complainant shall get the order executed and later on in the event of success of the appeal, the appellant shall suffer irreparable harm in that eventuality.

Place:- Applicant   
 Date   
 through Counsel   
  
 ( Suresh Ahlawat )

Advocate

BEFORE THE STATE CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSL COMMISSION,  
  
 HARYANA AT PANCHKULA

APPEAL NO.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ OF 2012

SDO ‘OP” Sub Division City (DHBVN) Fatehabad

-------------Appellant

VERSUS

Parbhu Dayal

------------- Respondent

Application under Consumer Protection Act , for

condonation of delay of days filing this appeal .

Respectfully Showeth.

1. That the impugned order was passed by the Ld. DCF on dt 20.12.2011 and the certified copy of the order was delivered on dt. 29.12.2011

2. That thereafter the certified copy of the order was received and the complete file was sent for legal opinion to Legal Remembrancer (L.R.)regarding filing the appeal before the Hon,ble State Commission . In this way, after getting the opinion from the office of L.R., the case was sent for sanction and for engaging the counsel. Due to these reason the procedural delay has been occurred as the file has to move on different stages for filing the appeal.

1. That the delay of days in filing the appeal is not intentional and deliberate as the same is due to the reason explained above.

4. That the applicant will suffer irreparable loss in case, the delay of days in filing the appeal is not condoned.

It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that this application may kindly be allowed and delay of days in filing the accompanying appeal may kindly be condoned in the interest of justices .

Place: Panchkula Applicant

Date: Through Counsel

Suresh Ahlawat